

## Gauss's theorem Math 131 Multivariate Calculus D Joyce, Spring 2014

The statement of Gauss's theorem, also known as the divergence theorem. For this theorem, let D be a 3-dimensional region with boundary  $\partial D$ . This boundary  $\partial D$  will be one or more surfaces, and they all have to be oriented in the same way, away from D. Let  $\mathbf{F}$  be a vector field in  $\mathbf{R}^3$ . Gauss' theorem equates a surface integral over  $\partial D$  with a triple integral over D. It says that the integral of  $\mathbf{F}$  over  $\partial D$  equals the divergence of  $\mathbf{F}$  over the region D:  $\iint_{\partial D} \mathbf{F} \cdot d\mathbf{S} = \iiint_{D} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{F} \, dV$ .

An interpretation of Gauss's theorem. If  $\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x})$  is the velocity of a fluid at  $\mathbf{x}$ , then Gauss's theorem says that the total divergence within the 3-dimensional region D is equal to the flux through the boundary  $\partial D$ . The divergence at  $\mathbf{x}$  can be thought of the rate of expansion of the fluid at  $\mathbf{x}$ .

**Example 1.** Let D be the region

$$D = \{ (x, y, z) \mid x^2 + y^2 + 1 \le z \le 5 \}.$$



The surface  $x^2 + y^2 + 1 = z$  is a paraboloid opening upward (positive z being upward) with vertex on the z-axis at z = 1. Above that surface and below the plane z = 5 lies the 3-dimensional region D. The top surface of D is a circle of radius 2. Let **F** be the vector field

$$\mathbf{F}(x, y, z) = (x^2, y, z).$$

We'll verify Gauss's theorem.

First, let's find  $\iiint_D \nabla \cdot \mathbf{F} \, dV$ , the triple integral of the divergence of  $\mathbf{F}$  over D.

The divergence of  $\mathbf{F}$  is

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{F} = \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial F_3}{\partial z} = 2x + 2.$$

If we interpret  $\mathbf{F}(x, y, z)$  as the velocity of a flow of a fluid, than that flow has a positive divergence for x > -1 and negative divergence for x < -1. It's expanding in the first case; contracting in the second. So, in most of the paraboloid D the fluid is expanding.

We'll use cylindrical coordinates to evaluate the triple integral.

$$\iiint_{D} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{F} \, dV$$
$$= \iiint_{D} (2x+2) \, dV$$
$$= \iiint_{D} (2r\cos\theta + 2) \, r \, dr \, d\theta \, dz$$

$$= \int_{0}^{2} \int_{1+r^{2}}^{5} \int_{0}^{2\pi} (2r^{2}\cos\theta + 2r) \, d\theta \, dz \, dr$$
$$= \int_{0}^{2} \int_{1+r^{2}}^{5} 4\pi r \, dz \, dr$$
$$= \int_{0}^{2} 4\pi r (4 - r^{2}) \, dr = 16\pi$$

Since this integral of the divergence is positive, overall the fluid is expanding.

Now, let's go on to the harder task of evaluating the surface integral  $\iint_{\partial D} \mathbf{F} \cdot d\mathbf{S}$ . The surface  $\partial D$  comes in two parts. One is the top disk  $S_1$ at height z = 5 and radius 2. The other is the parabolic surface  $S_2$ . We can parametrize both of them over the domain  $D' = \{(s,t) | s^2 + t^2 < 4\}$ . A parametrization of  $S_1$  is

$$\mathbf{X}_1(s,t) = (s,t,5),$$

while a parametrization of  $S_2$  is

$$\mathbf{X}_2(s,t) = (s,t,s^2 + t^2 + 1).$$

The normal vector for  $\mathbf{X}_1$  is  $\mathbf{N}_1 = (0, 0, 1)$  since it's a flat horizontal plane. We'll compute the normal vector for  $S_2$ .

$$\mathbf{N}_{2} = \begin{vmatrix} \mathbf{i} & \mathbf{j} & \mathbf{k} \\ 1 & 0 & 2s \\ 0 & 1 & 2t \end{vmatrix} = (-2s, -2t, 1).$$

Now, let's go on to the harder task of evaluat-  $N_2$ . We'll compute the two surface integrals.

$$\begin{split} &\iint_{\mathbf{X}_{1}} \mathbf{F} \cdot d\mathbf{S} \\ &= \iint_{\mathbf{X}_{1}} \mathbf{F} \cdot \mathbf{N} \, ds \, dt \\ &= \iint_{D'} (x^{2}, t, 5) \cdot (0, 0, 1) \, ds \, dt \\ &= \iint_{D'} 5 \, ds \, dt \\ &= 5 \, \operatorname{Area}(D') = 20\pi \\ &\iint_{\mathbf{X}_{2}} \mathbf{F} \cdot d\mathbf{S} \\ &= \iint_{\mathbf{X}_{2}} \mathbf{F} \cdot \mathbf{N} \, ds \, dt \\ &= \iint_{D'} (s^{2}, t, s^{2} + t^{2} + 1) \cdot (-2s, -2t, 1) \, ds \, dt \\ &= \iint_{D'} (-2s^{3} - 2t^{2} + s^{2} + t^{2} + 1) \, ds \, dt \\ &= 4\pi \end{split}$$

Since  $16\pi = 20\pi - 4\pi$ , we have verified Gauss's theorem.

Math 131 Home Page at http://math.clarku.edu/~djoyce/ma131/

Actually, there's a problem here, since the normal vector  $\mathbf{N}_2$  points in toward the 3-dimensional region D. That means we'll have

$$\iint_{\partial D} \mathbf{F} \cdot d\mathbf{S} = \iint_{\mathbf{X}_1} \mathbf{F} \cdot d\mathbf{S} - \iint_{\mathbf{X}_2} \mathbf{F} \cdot d\mathbf{S}$$

where the minus sign takes care of the direction of