

A short introduction to Bayesian statistics, part III Math 217 Probability and Statistics Prof. D. Joyce, Fall 2014

## 5 The Poisson process

A Poisson process is the continuous version of a Bernoulli process. In a Bernoulli process, time is discrete, and at each time unit there is a certain probability p that success occurs, the same probability at any given time, and the events at one time instant are independent of the events at other time instants.

In a Poisson process, time is continuous, and there is a certain rate  $\lambda$  of events occurring per unit time that is the same for any time interval, and events occur independently of each other. Whereas in a Bernoulli process at most one event occurs in a unit time interval, in a Poisson process any nonnegative whole number of events can occur in unit time.

As in a Bernoulli process, you can ask various questions about a Poisson process, and the answers will have various distributions. If you ask how many events occur in an interval of length t, then the answer will have a Poisson distribution, POISSON( $\lambda t$ ). Its probability mass function is

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{x!} (\lambda t)^x e^{-\lambda t} \qquad \text{for } x = 0, 1, \dots$$

If you ask how long until the first event occurs, then the answer will have an exponential distribution, EXPONENTIAL( $\lambda$ ), with probability density function

$$f(x) = \lambda e^{-\lambda x}$$
 for  $x \in [0, \infty)$ .

If you ask how long until the  $r^{\text{th}}$  event, then the answer will have a gamma distribution GAMMA $(\lambda, r)$ . There are a couple different ways that gamma distributions are parametrized—either in terms of  $\lambda$  and r as done here, or in terms of  $\alpha$ and  $\beta$ . The connection is  $\alpha = r$ , and  $\beta = 1/\lambda$ , which is the expected time to the first event in a Poisson process. The probability density function for a gamma distribution is

$$f(x) = \frac{x^{\alpha - 1} e^{-x/\beta}}{\beta^{\alpha} \Gamma(\alpha)} = \frac{\lambda^r x^{r-1} e^{-\lambda x}}{\Gamma(r)}$$

for  $x \in [0, \infty)$ . The mean of a gamma distribution is  $\alpha\beta = r/\lambda$  while its variance is  $\alpha\beta^2 = r/\lambda^2$ .

Our job is to get information about this parameter  $\lambda$ . Using the Bayesian approach, we have a prior density function  $f(\lambda)$  on  $\lambda$ . Suppose over a time interval of length t we observe k events. The posterior density function is proportional to a conditional probability times the prior density function

$$f(\lambda \mid k) \propto P(k \mid \lambda) f(\lambda).$$

Now, k and t are constants, so

$$P(k \mid \lambda) = P(k \text{ successes in time } t \mid \lambda)$$
$$= \frac{1}{k!} (\lambda t)^k e^{-\lambda t}$$
$$\propto \lambda^k e^{-\lambda t}$$

Therefore, we have the following proportionality relating the posterior density function to the prior density function

$$f(\lambda \mid k) \propto \lambda^k e^{-\lambda t} f(\lambda).$$

Finding a family of conjugate priors. Again, we have the problem of deciding on what the prior density functions  $f(\lambda)$  should be. Let's take one that seems to be natural and see what family of distributions it leads to. We know  $\lambda$  is some positive value, so we need a distribution on  $(0, \infty)$ . The exponential distributions are common distributions defined on  $(0, \infty)$ , so let's take the simplest one, with density

$$f(\lambda) = e^{-\lambda}$$

for  $\lambda \geq 0$ . Then

$$f(\lambda \mid k) \propto \lambda^k e^{-\lambda t} e^{-\lambda} = \lambda^k e^{-\lambda(t+1)}.$$

That makes the posterior distribution  $f(\lambda | k)$  a gamma distribution  $\text{GAMMA}(\lambda, r) = \text{GAMMA}(t + 1, k + 1)$  distribution since a  $\text{GAMMA}(\lambda, r)$  distribution has the density function

$$f(x) = \frac{\lambda^r x^{r-1} e^{-\lambda x}}{\Gamma(r)} \propto x^{r-1} e^{-\lambda x}$$

We have a little notational problem right now since we're using the symbol  $\lambda$  in two ways. First, it's the parameter to the Poisson process with a distribution; second, it's one of the two parameters of that distribution. From now on, I'll decorate the second use with subscripts somehow.

In this paragraph we have found that if  $\lambda$  had a prior distribution which was exponential, which in fact is a special case of a gamma distribution GAMMA(1, 1), then the posterior distribution was also a gamma distribution GAMMA(t + 1, k + 1).

More generally, the prior distribution can be any gamma distribution  $GAMMA(\lambda_0, r_0)$ . Then if k successes are observed in time t, the posterior distribution will also be a gamma distribution, namely,  $GAMMA(\lambda_0 + t, r_0 + k)$ . Essentially, the first coordinate keeps track of the total elapsed time while the second keeps track of the number of events.

Thus, a family of conjugate priors for the Poisson process parameter  $\lambda$  is the family of gamma distributions.

Selecting the prior distribution. How do you choose the right prior out of the family  $GAMMA(\lambda_0, r_0)$ , that is, what do you choose for  $\lambda_0$  and  $r_0$ ?

One possibility is that you have a prior notion for the mean  $\mu$  and variance  $\sigma^2$  of  $\lambda$ . The mean for a GAMMA( $\lambda_0, r_0$ ) distribution is  $\mu = r_0/\lambda_0$  and its variance is  $\sigma^2 = r_0/\lambda_0^2$ . These two equations can be solved for  $r_0$  and  $\lambda_0$  to give

$$r_0 = \mu^2 / \sigma^2$$
 and  $\lambda_0 = \mu / \sigma^2$ .

So, for example, you think that the rate of events  $\lambda$  has a mean  $\mu = 2$  and a standard deviation of  $\sigma = 0.25$ . Then  $r_0 = 100$ , and  $\lambda_0 = 50$ , the equivalent of observing 100 observations in 50 time units. The density of GAMMA(100, 50) is graphed below.



But what if you don't have any prior information? What's a good know-nothing prior? That's like saying that we've had no successes in no time. That suggests taking GAMMA(0,0) as the prior on  $\lambda$ . Now GAMMA( $\lambda, r$ ) describes a gamma distribution only when  $\lambda > 0$  and r > 0, so GAMMA(0,0) is only a formal symbol. Nonetheless, as soon as we make an observation of k events in time t, with k at least 1, we can use the rule developed above to update it to GAMMA(t, k) which is an actual distribution.

A point estimator for  $\lambda$ . As mentioned above, the mean of a distribution on a parameter is a commonly taken as a point estimator for that parameter. Let the prior distribution for  $\lambda$  be GAMMA( $\lambda_0, r_0$ ). Then the prior estimator for  $\lambda$ is  $\mu_{\lambda} = \frac{r_0}{\lambda_0}$ . After an observation  $\mathbf{x}$  with kevents in time t, the posterior distribution will be GAMMA( $\lambda_0 + t, r_0 + k$ ), so the posterior estimator for  $\lambda$  is  $\mu_{\lambda|\mathbf{x}} = \frac{r_0 + k}{\lambda_0 + t}$ . If we took the prior to be the no-nothing prior of GAMMA(0,0), that implies that posterior estimator for  $\lambda$  is just k/t, the rate of observed occurrences.

Math 217 Home Page at http://math.clarku.edu/~djoyce/ma217/